

California Energy Commission
Dockets Office, MS-4
Docket No. 09-RENEW EO-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED

09-RENEW EO-1

TN # 74706

FEB 20 2015

Re: DRECP NEPA/CEQA

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a resident of California and I am against our deserts being over-developed with large-scale renewable energy projects. I would rather see those projects being built in cities.

But, I recognize that renewable energy companies have been applying, and will continue to apply, to develop more and more of our desert lands. I appreciate that the Bureau of Land Management has issued the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, to identify places where development will be allowed and where it will not be allowed.

Thank you for proposing to protect many important areas from development, such as the Amargosa River region, Chemehuevi Valley, Chicago Valley, Dublin Hills, Mojave Trails/Route 66, Panamint Valley, and Shadow Valley.

Assuming that the BLM goes ahead with the Preferred Alternative of the Plan, I would like that Alternative to be changed, so that it gives more protection to more places. I ask the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands, so that they are off limits to this development:

- Avawatz Mountains area (including the "Bowling Alley")
- California Valley (including Charleston View)
- Coso Range area
- Lower Centennial Flat
- Malpais Mesa area (including northwestern Talc City Hills, Santa Rosa Flat, Conglomerate Mesa)
- Rose Valley/McCloud Flat
- Silurian Valley area (including Silurian Hills)
- Slate Range
- Sperry Hills/Kingston Range

Thank you,

"I ask that the BLM to make the Preferred Alternative of the DRECP better, by including the following places in National Conservation Lands..." Letter

Submitted by

1 Bridget Max

2 Leonard Warren

3 Lawrence Levy

4 Bonnie Fanti

5 Mary King

6 Colleen Buck