

## Energy - Docket Optical System

---

**From:** Linda DeLuca-Snively <californiadeluca@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 22, 2015 10:19 PM  
**To:** Energy - Docket Optical System  
**Subject:** DRECP NEPA/CEQA - Comments  
**Attachments:** DRECP Comments - 2.22.2015.rtf

California Energy Commission

**DOCKETED**

**09-RENEW EO-1**

TN # 75293

FEB 23 2015

DRECP NEPA/CEQA Comments by Dr. Linda DeLuca, PO Box 82, Newberry Springs, CA, 92365 760.780.8741

Please exclude Newberry Springs, CA from amongst the 22.5 Million Acres of Reclamation Energy Project, as it is absolutely not compatible with our neighborhood.

#1 - Newberry Springs, CA, as stated in San Bernardino County Position on DRECP Compatibility with County Priorities, Page 4, last paragraph as follows:

"SBC Position on DRECP Compatibility with County Priorities

The County recommends that the DRECP consider eliminating DFA designations in Apple Valley, unincorporated Apple Valley, Phelan (south of SR 18 between US 395 and the Los Angeles County line), Stoddard Valley, Helendale, Lucerne Valley, Johnson Valley, Newberry Springs and along historically sensitive sections of California Highway 66. The County also recommends that the DRECP consider additional DFA designations along the "395 Corridor", which is west of U.S. Route 395 and north of El Mirage, and along and four miles north of California State Route 58. The County recognizes that there may be potential land ownership limitations (e.g. U.S. Department of Defense land) with this approach." Please listen, and please pay attention to this plea.

#2 - Examine and look to Disaster Monster Projects of IVANPAH for wildlife disturbances, productivity results, and financial drain for request for additional millions of taxpayer money.

#3 - Take all the billions of taxpayer money that will be required to make massive alternative projects a possibility and consider supplying each and every electrical connection in California with point of delivery with rooftop and backyard alternative equipment and you would be acting in a far more fiscally responsible behavior while saving billions of taxpayer dollars.

#4 - Has anyone kept track of the total lobbying money that has been spent in the past five years of the DRECP proposal? And who benefits from that well positioned spent money. Guessing large corporations have been and are hoping to benefit huge money coffers.

#5 - DRECP appears to be technologically pre-mature. There has to be a technology solution to alternative energy that does not denigrate 22.5 million acres. This seems to be nothing more than pure insanity. By forcing the incorrect solution, the consequences will guarantee disaster. If you wait five or ten years for a viable technologically viable solution, prudence will prevail. Doing the incorrect massive projects, if not the correct solution benefits not California, but huge corporations. Doesn't Solyndra prove anything? Doesn't Ivanpah prove anything?

#6 - We truly hope that the DRECP would look out for the citizens of California, not the benefit of a few large industrial entities, with what appears to be money generating, not best solutions for the future.